

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

MARKIE KENNETH GARNER, )  
                            )  
Petitioner,             )  
                            )  
vs.                         )                          CIV-14-89-D  
                            )  
CHARLES E. SAMUELS, et al., )  
                            )  
Respondents.             )

REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

With his Petition for a Writ of Habeas Corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2241, Petitioner has filed a motion for leave to proceed *in forma pauperis* and supporting documents. Having reviewed said motion, the undersigned finds that Petitioner has sufficient financial resources to pay the \$5.00 filing fee. Because he does not qualify for authorization to proceed without prepayment of the filing fee, Petitioner's motion should be denied, and he should be required to pay the full filing fee for this action to proceed.

RECOMMENDATION

Based on the foregoing findings, it is recommended that the motion for leave to proceed *in forma pauperis* (Doc. #2) be DENIED and the action be dismissed without prejudice unless Petitioner pays the full filing fee to the Clerk of the Court by February 24<sup>th</sup>, 2014. Petitioner is advised of his right to file an objection to this Report and

Recommendation with the Clerk of this Court by February 24<sup>th</sup>, 2014, in accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636 and LCvR 72.1. The failure to timely object to this Report and Recommendation would waive appellate review of the recommended ruling. Moore v. United States of America, 950 F.2d 656 (10th Cir. 1991); cf. Marshall v. Chater, 75 F.3d 1421, 1426 (10<sup>th</sup> Cir. 1996) (“Issues raised for the first time in objections to the magistrate judge’s recommendation are deemed waived.”).

This Report and Recommendation disposes of all issues referred to the undersigned Magistrate Judge in the captioned matter.

ENTERED this 4<sup>th</sup> day of February, 2014.



GARY M. PURCELL  
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE